Key Characteristics and Principles to Support the Development of Continuous Improvement Systems

Juan D'Brot, Ph.D.
W. Chris Brandt, Ph.D.

CCNETWORK
Comprehensive Center Network

REGION 5
Kentucky
Tennessee
Virginia
West Virginia

CCNETWORK Comprehensive Center Network

REGION 5
Kentucky
Tennessee
Virginia
West Virginia

Key Characteristics and Principles to Support the Development of Continuous Improvement Systems

Region 5 Comprehensive Center

The Region 5 Comprehensive Center (R5CC) is one of 20 technical assistance centers supported under the U.S. Department of Education's Comprehensive Centers program from 2019 to 2024. The R5CC serves the needs of Kentucky, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia by building capacity to improve policies and programs to improve student performance.

The contents of this resource were developed under a grant from the U.S. Department of Education by Region 5 Comprehensive Center at Westat under Award #S283B190030. The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the positions or policies of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the federal government.

Contents

Introduction

In today's educational landscape, state and local educational agencies (SEAs and LEAs) often experience challenges connecting large-scale accountability data with actual school improvement initiatives. These challenges tend to be rooted in incoherent design and use of data systems for continuous improvement. As we aim to support SEAs in effectively using assessment and accountability data, creating coherent systems of continuous improvement is crucial. Coherent continuous improvement systems facilitate short-term, school-based experimentation to nimbly identify and iterate contextually promising practices. Additionally, coherent systems enable agencies at different levels of a system—schools, LEAs, and SEAs—to monitor growth and progress against goals, flag schools or classrooms that may need additional support, and evaluate the effectiveness of policies and programs.

This paper provides a high-level overview of the key features and essential components of continuous improvement systems. Additionally, it offers some guiding principles for designing and implementing continuous improvement systems effectively. The paper concludes with specific strategies that a Comprehensive Center can offer to support SEA and LEA improvement efforts. These strategies are based on partnerships between the Appalachian Region 5 Comprehensive Center and the SEAs it serves (Kentucky, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia).

Key Features of Continuous Improvement Systems

Table 1 highlights some key features of continuous improvement systems. These systems are often designed to support a more holistic approach to improvement, focusing on system-wide performance, including academic, social-emotional learning, career success, and overall well-being. Data is collected and used to monitor, evaluate, and improve the systems that support student performance outcomes. These systems inform policies, structures, programs, and practices within and across organizational systems to support comprehensive student outcomes.

Table 1. Key components of continuous improvement data systems

Component	Description
Focus	Holistic system-wide performance, including, but not limited to, indicators like academic, social-emotional learning, career success, and overall well-being
Data of interest	Across various organizational systems and levels, encompassing academic, behavioral, social-emotional, and environmental factors
Intended use	To inform progress and impact across various systems and levels, encompassing academic, behavioral, social-emotional, and environmental factors
Examples	Program evaluation and iterative improvement approaches, policy impact studies, and organizational reviews

As we highlight in Table 1, having a broad enough set of data to make decisions and inform progress is critical. This idea of holistic data collection involves collecting data to inform academic, behavioral, social-emotional, and environmental factors. Examples include student engagement surveys, school climate assessments, attendance records, and behavioral incident reports. One purpose of a continuous improvement data system is to provide a comprehensive understanding of the factors influencing student performance and inform strategies that improve conditions for learning (D'Brot, 2020).

Data systems focused on continuous improvement should be system wide. That is, continuous improvement data systems should support users' abilities and behaviors to monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of policies, programs, and practices to identify systemic barriers to student success and implement changes that improve the overall educational environment. Examples include evaluations of curricular programs and practices, policy impact studies, and organizational reviews. An example of a continuous improvement data system might look something like this:

> A SEA develops a continuous improvement data system that collects and analyzes data on student attendance, academic performance, school climate, and teacher professional development. This system identifies schools with high absenteeism rates and low academic performance. The agency then works with these schools to implement targeted interventions, such as mentoring programs and professional development workshops for teachers, to address the underlying issues. The school and district then partner to identify important leading or learning condition indicators that inform incremental progress as the school addresses issues that influence student absenteeism and, eventually, academic performance.

The sections below focus on continuous improvement systems and what is needed to instantiate something like the example above. Specifically, we discuss essential components of the data systems that support continuous improvement. Following this section, we propose some guiding principles for effectively designing and using comprehensive data systems for continuous improvement. We conclude the report with practical suggestions, based on our experience working with SEAs in the Appalachian region, for how Comprehensive Centers can build the capacity of SEAs to design and implement robust systems of continuous improvement, and to support local improvement efforts.

Essential Components of Data Systems for Continuous Improvement

In conjunction with support from Comprehensive Centers, SEAs and LEAs can work toward building and using data systems for continuous improvement. To do so, it is important to first understand the essential components of a data system designed for continuous improvement.

Effective data systems for continuous improvement are essential for driving informed decisionmaking and fostering educational improvements at all levels but must be robust, integrated, and capable of providing comprehensive insights. Strong characteristics of such a system include access to longitudinal data, common linking variables, common measures, effective data sharing and governance, comprehensive data collection, real-time data access and reporting, professional development, and continuous improvement loops. We provide a brief overview of these characteristics in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Overview of data or components of continuous improvement data systems

Data or Component	Key Feature	Use
Longitudinal data	Tracks student data over time to monitor trends and assess interventions	» Identifies trends in growth or decline across groups of students » Identifies gaps in subgroup trends » Evaluates long-term effectiveness
Common linking variables	Standardized data points for integrating and analyzing data across systems	» Uses unique identifiers » Ensures data consistency and comparability
Common measures	Standardized tests and metrics for reliable comparisons and analysis	» Supports benchmarking » Enables comparisons across students and schools » Could include behavioral and social-emotional metrics
Data sharing and governance	Frameworks for secure, compliant data sharing and usage	» Defines ownership and access » Establishes data-sharing agreements
Comprehensive data collection	Collects diverse data types for a holistic view of performance	» Integrates multiple data sources » Supports targeted interventions
Real-time data access and reporting	Provides current data visualizations and automated reports for informed decisionmaking	» Enhances decisionmaking efficiency » Reduces manual analysis
Professional development and data literacy	Builds data literacy through ongoing training and collaborative learning	» Empowers informed decisions » Promotes continuous improvement
Continuous feedback and improvement loops	Mechanisms for ongoing feedback and iterative practice refinement	» Creates user feedback channels » Implements regular evaluations » Allows schools to account for local school context when considering improvements

Longitudinal Data. Longitudinal data play a crucial role in continuous improvement by tracking the same information on the same students over extended periods. These data are invaluable for monitoring long-term trends and outcomes in student performance and assessing the impact of various interventions and programs. For example, tracking individual student growth over multiple years allows educators to understand growth patterns and identify persistent achievement gaps. It also enables the evaluation of the long-term effectiveness of educational programs by comparing outcomes over time.

Common Linking Variables. Common linking variables are standardized data points used to connect different datasets, making integrating and analyzing data across various systems and sources possible. For instance, using unique student identifiers allows for the seamless linking of assessment data, attendance records, and other educational data. Adopting standardized reporting formats ensures consistency and comparability across datasets. This facilitates comprehensive data integration and analysis, which is essential for informed decisionmaking and maintaining accuracy in data reporting and interpretation.

Common Measures. Common measures are vital for reliable comparisons and aggregated analysis. While standardized tests provide comparable data on student performance across schools and districts, less standardized antecedent or leading indicators are just as important to inform progress toward standardized outcomes. Additionally, including behavioral and social-emotional metrics offers a more holistic view of student development. These common measures enable consistent and reliable evaluation of student performance and support benchmarking and comparative analysis across different educational contexts.

Data Sharing and Governance. Effective data sharing and governance frameworks ensure that data are securely shared and appropriately used while maintaining privacy and compliance with legal and ethical standards. Developing clear data governance policies defines data ownership, access rights, and responsibilities for data management and security. Establishing formal data-sharing agreements between agencies and organizations can support secure and compliant data sharing. This can also promote collaboration and data-informed decisionmaking across various levels of the education system (e.g., classroom, school, LEA, SEA) while ensuring the privacy and security of sensitive educational data.

Comprehensive Data Collection. A robust data system collects a wide range of data types, including academic performance, attendance, behavioral incidents, and social-emotional learning, to provide a holistic view of student and school performance. Multifaceted data collection involves gathering data from multiple sources, such as assessments, surveys, administrative records, and observations. Integrated data systems combine these different data types into a cohesive framework for analysis. This comprehensive approach can facilitate context-specific analyses that can be used to inform targeted interventions and improvement strategies. This can provide a deeper understanding of factors influencing student performance.

Real-Time Data Access and Reporting. Real-time access to data and dynamic reporting enables educators and administrators to make informed decisions based on the most current information available. While often oversold, data dashboards do allow users to have access to real-time data visualizations and reporting tailored to various needs. It is, however, critical to know what question you want to answer and whether a given visualization answers that question. Automated reporting tools can generate up-to-date reports, reducing the time and effort required for manual data analysis. This enhances the ability to respond quickly to emerging issues and trends, improving the efficiency and effectiveness of data-informed decisionmaking processes.

Professional Development and Data Literacy. Building data literacy among educators and administrators is essential for effectively using data to inform practice and drive improvement. Offering ongoing professional development opportunities focused on data analysis, interpretation, and application empowers educators to make informed decisions based on data. Furthermore, establishing collaborative learning communities allows educators to share insights, strategies, and best practices for using data, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and data-driven practice.

Continuous Feedback and Improvement Loops. Effective data systems incorporate mechanisms for continuous feedback and iterative improvement, ensuring that data is used to refine practices and policies over time. This also requires creating channels for users to provide feedback on data usage and system performance. This feedback loop can help system designers be responsive to changing needs. Implementing regular evaluation cycles to assess the effectiveness of data-driven strategies can also help identify adjustments to the system or structures supporting it.

By integrating these essential components, educational agencies can develop robust data systems that support continuous improvement and drive meaningful educational outcomes. These systems enable educators and administrators to make informed decisions, implement effective interventions, and ultimately improve the quality of education for all students.

Principles for Effective Design and Use

Designing and using effective data systems for continuous improvement in education requires adherence to several key principles. These principles can ensure that data systems are not only robust and integrated but also capable of driving informed decisionmaking and fostering educational improvements. Below, we offer seven principles to consider for continuous improvement design and use.

1. **Identify the Problem** (Brandt, 2022)**.** The first principle clearly defines the problems the data system aims to address. A well-defined problem statement provides the foundation for targeted and effective solutions. For instance, longitudinal data can identify persistent achievement gaps by tracking individual student progress over multiple years. This enables educators to pinpoint specific areas where interventions are needed. For example, using longitudinal data, a school district may discover that students from certain demographic groups consistently underperform

in mathematics. This problem identification allows the district to develop targeted interventions aimed at improving math instruction and support for these students.

2. **Mapping the System (also known as building out the program logic)** (Region 5 Comprehensive Center)**.** Understanding the relationships and interactions within the educational system is crucial for identifying leverage points for improvement. This involves mapping out the various components and how they interact. For example, common linking variables, such as unique student identifiers, help integrate different datasets, allowing for a comprehensive analysis of student performance across multiple domains. By mapping the system, an educational agency can link assessment data with attendance records and behavioral incident reports. This integrated analysis can reveal that frequent absenteeism is a significant factor contributing to poor academic performance, guiding the development of attendance improvement programs.

3. **Developing a Theory of Action** (D'Brot, 2022)**.** A theory of action outlines the steps and strategies needed to achieve desired outcomes. It provides a roadmap for implementing solutions and ensures that all actions are aligned with the overarching goals. Common measures, such as standardized assessments and behavioral metrics, are essential for evaluating the effectiveness of these strategies. For example, an SEA might develop a theory of action that includes implementing standardized tests to monitor student achievement while incorporating social-emotional learning metrics to ensure a holistic approach to student development. This theory of action guides the design and use of the data system to support both academic and non-academic outcomes.

4. **Mapping Measures** (D'Brot, 2022)**.** Identifying and aligning measures to the theory of action and intended outcomes is critical for effective data use. This involves selecting the right assessments and metrics that provide reliable and relevant data. Real-time data access and reporting capabilities enhance the ability to use these measures effectively. For example, an educational agency can create interactive dashboards that display real-time data on student performance, attendance, and engagement. These dashboards enable educators to quickly identify areas where students are struggling and implement timely interventions to support them.

5. **Communicating and Reporting Results** (D'Brot, 2022)**.** Communicating results effectively to stakeholders is essential for informed decisionmaking and improvement efforts. Clear and concise reporting ensures that data is understood and used appropriately. Professional development and data literacy training for educators and administrators enhance their ability to interpret and apply data effectively. For example, a school district can provide training sessions for teachers on using data dashboards to monitor student progress. These sessions help teachers understand how to interpret the data and use it to inform their instructional practices, leading to more effective teaching and improved student outcomes.

6. **Operations and Quality Control** (Keng & D'Brot, 2018)**.** Ensuring that data collection and reporting processes are efficient and of high quality is vital for maintaining the integrity of the data system. This involves implementing robust data governance policies and establishing data-

sharing agreements that define data ownership, access rights, and responsibilities. For example, an SEA might develop clear data governance policies that outline procedures for data collection, storage, and sharing. These policies ensure data are accurate, secure, and used responsibly, promoting trust and collaboration among users and constituents.

7. **Managing Change** (D'Brot, 2022)**.** Implementing strategies for managing change and ensuring user buy-in is crucial for the successful adoption of new data systems and practices. Continuous feedback and improvement loops support the ongoing refinement and optimization of educational practices and policies. For example, an educational agency can establish feedback mechanisms that allow teachers, administrators, and other stakeholders to provide input on the data system's performance. Regular evaluation cycles assess the effectiveness of data-driven strategies and identify necessary adjustments, ensuring that the system remains responsive to changing needs. To do this well, educational systems must have robust structures (e.g., design teams) and procedures (e.g., periodic feedback loops that enable information to flow across system levels) for communicating information across levels of a system (from the SEA to LEAs to schools and teachers).

These seven key principles can help guide the development of an effective continuous improvement system. First, clearly identifying the problem ensures that targeted interventions are aligned to improvement goals and address the core challenges inhibiting progress. Mapping the system helps leaders understand how factors within a system enable chronic problems to persist. Developing a theory of action provides a strategic roadmap for achieving desired outcomes, using common measures to evaluate effectiveness holistically. Mapping measures involves selecting relevant assessments aligned with the theory of action, with real-time data access enhancing timely interventions. Clear communication and reporting are critical for ensuring stakeholders at all system levels buy into the change efforts. Good communication also incorporates feedback loops to support program or policy adaptations to address unique school contexts. Professional development and data literacy training are critical for building capacity among LEA and school personnel to enact continuous improvement procedures with fidelity. Robust operations and quality control through data governance policies maintain data integrity and promote collaboration. Finally, managing change with continuous feedback loops ensures the system remains responsive and effective. Together, these principles create robust data systems that drive informed decisions, effective interventions, and improved educational outcomes.

The Supporting Role of Comprehensive Centers

Comprehensive Centers play a pivotal role in supporting SEAs and LEAs by providing capacity-building services that enhance the implementation of evidence-based practices and improve educational outcomes. These centers address the unique needs of SEAs, regional educational agencies (REAs), LEAs, and schools, ensuring a high standard of educational quality and effectiveness. Below, we expand on how these centers can support the integration strategies outlined in the previous section (Office of Elementary and Secondary Education, 2024).

Supporting Collaborative Processes and Eliminating Siloes. Comprehensive Centers foster collaboration across different departments within and across educational agencies. They provide platforms for stakeholders, including SEAs, LEAs, educators, policymakers, and researchers, to share knowledge, strategies, and best practices. This collaborative approach helps break down siloes that often hinder effective data use and decisionmaking. For example, they can organize regular inter-agency meetings and workshops that bring together representatives from accountability, technology, data, and improvement departments. These sessions can focus on aligning goals, sharing data, and developing coordinated strategies for school improvement.

Curating Research on Best Practices. Another critical role of Comprehensive Centers includes the curation and dissemination of research on best practices in assessment, accountability, and school improvement. By providing access to high-quality, evidence-based resources, these centers help educational agencies implement strategies that are proven to be effective. For example, they can develop online repositories of research articles, case studies, and toolkits that educators and administrators can access to improve their practices. These repositories can be tailored to address specific needs, such as strategies for using interim assessment data to inform instruction or methods for improving school climate.

Cultivating and Convening Partners. Comprehensive Centers can also act as conveners, bringing together diverse partners to address a region's relevant educational challenges. These include educational agencies, community organizations, universities, and other stakeholders who can contribute to school improvement efforts. For example, they might organize regional conferences and symposiums, facilitating networking and partnership-building among educational stakeholders. These events can focus on integrating assessment data with broader continuous improvement metrics or designing interventions based on comprehensive data profiles.

Implementing Effective Strategies for Data Use. Comprehensive Centers also support implementing effective data use strategies by providing technical assistance and training to educational agencies. This helps ensure that data from continuous improvement systems are used effectively to inform decisionmaking and drive school improvement. For example, Comprehensive Centers can offer training programs for educators on data literacy, helping them understand how to interpret and use data from different sources to inform their instructional practices. Additionally, they can guide developing data dashboards that integrate various types of data, making it easier for educators and administrators to monitor progress and identify areas for improvement.

By integrating these strategies, Comprehensive Centers enhance the capacity of educational agencies to use data effectively for continuous improvement and school success. For more detailed information on the roles and activities of Comprehensive Centers, visit the Comprehensive Centers Program page on the Office of Elementary and Secondary Education website.

Conclusion

Continuous improvement systems are essential to help support, monitor, and validate effective school improvement practices. By following the principles and steps outlined in this paper, SEAs can better use data to support educational outcomes. This involves understanding the characteristics of continuous improvement systems, identifying the data types needed to support those systems, and applying the relevant principles to support continuous improvement.

By fostering a culture of continuous improvement and leveraging data effectively, SEAs and LEAs can make informed decisions that lead to meaningful and sustained improvements in educational outcomes.

References

Brandt, W.C. (2022). *Connecting Evaluation Principles to Improvement Science: Developing Processes and Programs to Improve Educational Outcomes.* National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment. https://www.nciea.org/blog/connecting-evaluation-principles-to-improvement-science/

D'Brot, J. (2022). *The Operational Best Practices for Accountability.* National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment. https://www.nciea.org/library/the-operational-best-practices-for-accountability/

D'Brot, J. (2020). *Transitioning Toward Holistic Assessment Systems.* National Center for the Improvement of Educational Assessment. https://www.nciea.org/blog/transitioning-toward-holistic-assessment-systems/

Keng, L., & D'Brot, J. (2018). *Where the Rubber Meets the Road: Operations and Quality Control in School Accountability Systems*. Council of Chief State School Officers.

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education. (2024). *Comprehensive Centers Program.* https://oese.ed.gov/offices/office-of-formula-grants/program-and-grantee-support-services/comprehensive-centers-program/

Region 5 Comprehensive Center (2024). *Networked Improvement Communities Learning Modules.* Rockville, MD: Region 5 Comprehensive Center at Westat. https://region5compcenter.org/r5cc-products/nic/#/